

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/589,675	MURRAY ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ahmed M. Farah.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Peter J. Su (Reg. No. 43,949).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2006

**Time:** 2:15 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claim 10*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the amendment filed on January 18, 2006, certain language in claim 10 was deleted. Applicant's representative put a line through the deleted subject matter. However, the faxed copy shows a partial line through the subject matter supposed to be deleted. Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to delete the remaining portion by Examiner's Amendment. See the attached Examiner's Amendment..